Maxillary osteonecrosis associated to antiangiogenic drugs
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To the Editor
Maxillary osteonecrosis (MON) associated to bisphosphonate (BP) use is a slow-evolving (over 6 weeks) form of chronic osteomyelitis characterized by the exposure of mandibular or maxillary bone seen in patients administered present or past BP therapy, and who have not been subjected to maxillofacial radiotherapy and show no evidence of metastatic disease (1). Different studies have identified a series of risk factors for BP-induced MON, including the use of nitrogenated BPs, the duration of treatment, concomitant corticoid use, or dental surgical procedures. A number of hypotheses have been proposed in an attempt to explain BP-induced MON. At tissue level, some authors consider MON to be due to diminished bone turnover, with the inhibition of bone resorption, while at cellular level other investigators postulate an inhibition of osteoclast recruitment and activity at bone surface level – favoring apoptosis. Interaction would take place with a receptor or intracellular enzyme within the osteoclasts, resulting in the inhibition of ATP-dependent enzymes. Likewise, and although still subject to controversy, an antiangiogenic effect is observed, acting mainly upon the soft tissues - with no evidence to suggest that this effect occurs during the bone remodeling process (2). Different antineoplastic drugs are presently used in which the mechanism of action involves the suppression of some stage of angiogenesis. Tumor angiogenesis is characterized by the proliferation of blood vessels within the neoplasm, transporting oxygen and nutrients to the tumor cells and facilitating tumor growth. If the inhibition of angiogenesis is one of the factors related to the production of MON, then antineoplastic drugs that act via an antiangiogenic mechanism could contribute to trigger or facilitate MON in patients treated with BPs. Bevacizumab (Avastin®) is a monoclonal antibody that binds to vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) -a key element in vasculogenesis and angiogenesis- thereby preventing VEGF from binding to its receptors. Such neutralization of the biological activity of VEGF induces the regression of tumor vascularization, normalizing tumor residual vascularization, and inhibiting tumor neovascularization. Tumor growth is inhibited as a result. Different authors have related the use of bevacizumab to an increased risk of MON in patients treated with BPs (3-5), with prevalences of up to 16% in patients administered bevacizumab and BPs versus only 1% in patients treated only with BPs (3). It was postulated that bevacizumab and other antiangiogenic drugs could exert an inducing effect favoring the development of
MON. Posteriorly, it was seen that bevacizumab could lead to MON in patients that had not been treated with BPs. To date, three cases have been reported of MON in patients not administered BPs, attributable to treatment with bevacizumab (6,7). These cases are summarized in (Table 1).

On the other hand, sunitinib (Sutent®) is an antineoplastic drug that inhibits multiple tyrosine kinase receptors implicated in tumor growth, neoangiogenesis and metastatic cancer progression. Among other receptors, this drug inhibits the range of VEGF receptors (VEGFR 1,2 and 3).

Likewise, sunitinib was initially suggested to be able to induce MON (8), and was posteriorly linked to MON in a clinical case (9). The latter corresponded to a 59-year-old woman diagnosed with renal carcinoma that had metastasized to the lungs, and who received BP for 6 months. Posteriorly, after three months of treatment with sunitinib, she developed MON. Extension of sunitinib for another three months was decided, and the MON worsened considerably. On suspending the treatment, the osteonecrosis stabilized and improved. After 5 months without treatment, her lung metastases progressed, and sunitinib was reintroduced – MON reappearing as a result.

Other marketed drugs with antiangiogenic activity that have not been linked to date to the induction of MON include sorafenib, cetuximab and panitumumab. On the other hand, a range of new molecules that act upon some of the phases of angiogenesis are currently in the investigational stage.

It is necessary to identify all the risk factors that may influence the development of MON, with a view to preventing the disorder. Such factors must be taken into consideration before treatment (10), since to date there is no fully satisfactory management option for established MON.

Table 1. Description of the cases of maxillary osteonecrosis induced by bevacizumab.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>No. Cycles</th>
<th>Time to appearance</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>Dental surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>15 mg/kg/21 days</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30 weeks</td>
<td>1x1 mm</td>
<td>Curettage + CHX</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Glioblastoma multiforme</td>
<td>10 mg/kg/14 days</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13 weeks</td>
<td>1x2 cm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Curettage</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA - information not available; CHX – chlorhexidine; F=female.
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